O14-Ti1-O22	86.21 (13)	O34—Ti2—O42	86.23 (11)
O14—Ti1—O24	164.05 (13)	O34—Ti2—O44	163.44 (12)
O22-Ti1-O24	82.90 (13)	O42-Ti2-O44	83.03 (11)

The compound crystallized in the monoclinic system; space group $P2_1/c$ was determined from the systematic absences. The crystal did not diffract well (only 32% of the data were classified as 'observed' at the 2σ level) presumably because the molecules take part in no significant intermolecular interactions.

Four of the eight independent *tert*-butyl groups showed clear evidence of rotational disorder and this was allowed for using the *DFIX* options in *SHELXL93* (Sheldrick, 1993) with suitable distance and occupancy constraints. For all *tert*-butyl groups, free variables were refined for the C—C(methyl) [1.502 (1) Å], methyl···methyl [2.447 (1) Å] and $C(sp^2)$ ··· C(methyl) [2.474 (1) Å] distances to ensure approximate tetrahedral geometry.

Examination of the structure with *PLATON* (Spek, 1994*a*) showed that there were no solvent accessible voids in the crystal lattice.

Data collection: Enraf-Nonius (1992) CAD-4 PC Software. Cell refinement: Enraf-Nonius (1992) SET4 and CELDIM. Data reduction: DATRD2 in NRCVAX94 (Gabe, Le Page, Charland, Lee & White, 1989). Program(s) used to solve structure: NRCVAX94 (Patterson heavy-atom method). Program(s) used to refine structure: NRCVAX94 and SHELXL93. Molecular graphics: NRCVAX94, PLUTON (Spek, 1994b) and ORTEP11 (Johnson, 1976) as implemented in PLATON. Software used to prepare material for publication: NRCVAX94 and SHELXL93.

GF thanks NSERC (Canada) for research grants; CG and GMT thank ICI PLC for financial support.

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters, Hatom coordinates and complete geometry have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: AB1297). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

References

- Bird, P. H., Fraser, A. R. & Lau, C. F. (1973). Inorg. Chem. 12, 1322-1328.
- Bradley, D. C. & Holloway, C. E. (1969). J. Chem. Soc. A, pp. 282-285.
- Cotton, F. A., Diebold, M. P. & Roth, W. J. (1985). Polyhedron, 4, 1485–1491.
- Dilthey, W. (1904). Chem. Ber. 37, 588-592.
- Enraf-Nonius (1992). CAD-4 PC Software. Version 1.1. Enraf-Nonius, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Fay, R. C. & Lowry, R. N. (1967). Inorg. Chem. 6, 1512-1517.
- Gabe, E. J., Le Page, Y., Charland, J.-P., Lee, F. L. & White, P. S. (1989). J. Appl. Cryst. 22, 384–387.
- Huffman, J. C., Molay, K. G., Marsella, J. A. & Caulton, K. G. (1980). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 102, 3009–3014.
- Johnson, C. K. (1976). ORTEPII. Report ORNL-5138. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, USA.
- Larsen, E. & La Mar, G. N. (1974). J. Chem. Educ. 51, 633-640.
- Morino, Y. & Uehara, H. (1966). J. Chem. Phys. 45, 4543-4550.
- North, A. C. T., Phillips, D. C. & Mathews, F. S. (1968). Acta Cryst. A24, 351-359.
- Orpen, A. G., Brammer, L., Allen, F. H., Kennard, O., Watson, D. G. & Taylor, R. (1989). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. S1-S83.

Schäffer, C. E. (1973). Struct. Bonding (Berlin), 14, 69-110.

©1996 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved Schubert, U., Buhler, H. & Hirle, B. (1992). Chem. Ber. 125, 999-1003.

Serpone, N. & Fay, R. C. (1967). Inorg. Chem. 6, 1835-1843.

- Sheldrick, G. M. (1993). SHELXL93. Program for the Refinement of Crystal Structures. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Smith, G. D., Caughlan, C. N. & Campbell, J. A. (1972). *Inorg. Chem.* **11**, 2989–2993.
- Spek, A. L. (1994a). *PLATON. Molecular Geometry Program.* July 1994 version. University of Utrecht, The Netherlands.
- Spek, A. L. (1994b). *PLUTON. Molecular Graphics Program.* July 1994 version. University of Utrecht, The Netherlands.
- Wang, J., Miao, F., Fan, X., Feng, X. & Wang, J. (1990). Acta Cryst. C46, 1633–1635.
- Watenpaugh, K. & Caughlin, C. N. (1967). *Inorg. Chem.* 6, 963–967.Yamamoto, A. & Kambara, S. (1957). *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 79, 4344–4348.

Acta Cryst. (1996). C52, 14-16

Tetramethylammonium Lithium Zinc Cyanide, [N(CH₃)₄]LiZn(CN)₄

XIANHUI BU, THURMAN E. GIER AND GALEN D. STUCKY

Department of Chemistry, University of California, Santa Barbara, CA 93106, USA

(Received 6 April 1995; accepted 6 July 1995)

Abstract

The title crystal has a diamond-type framework structure with Zn^{2+} and Li^+ ions on tetrahedral atom sites and $(CN)^-$ anions as bridging 'rods' between them. The charge-balancing $[N(CH_3)_4]^+$ cations are located in half of the adamantane cages.

Comment

This work is part of our efforts to synthesize diamondtype structures with variable adamantane cage sizes. The X-ray powder diffraction study of the $[N(C_2H_5)_4]^+$ templated sample shows that it has the same cubic diamond-type structure as $[N(CH_3)_4]LiZn(CN)_4$ with a sightly expanded cage (3% volume increase per cell). The cubic structure (possibly the diamond-type framework) is lost when other organic templates, such as $[N(C_3H_7)_4]^+$, are used.

In diamond-type frameworks, the number of the adamantane cages is the same as the number of tetrahedral atom sites. Thus, in the title compound, only half of the adamantane cages are occupied. The crystal structure of $[N(CH_3)_4]CuZn(CN)_4$ has been reported previously (Hoskins & Robson, 1991). By replacing Cu⁺ with Li⁺, we were able to distinguish two different tetrahedral atom sites and prove that $N(CH_3)_4^+$ cations are located

in the more negative cages formed with six Li^+ ions and four Zn^{2+} ions, avoiding cages with four Li^+ ions and six Zn^{2+} ions.



Fig. 1. The atomic labelling diagram showing the tetramethylammonium ion located in the cavity of the adamantane cage. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level.

Experimental

A 1.0 *M* solution of $Li_2Zn(CN)_4$ was prepared from appropriate quantities of LiOH, ZnO and acetone cyanohydrin, with stirring and warming to remove excess acetone. Addition of a 25% solution of tetramethylammonium hydroxide (such that TMA⁺/Zn²⁺ = 1/1) gave a clear colorless solution which on slow evaporation yielded masses of small equiaxial crystals suitable for the structure determination.

Crystal data

$(C_4H_{12}N)[LiZn(CN)_4]$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
$M_r = 250.53$	$\lambda = 0.7107$ Å
Cubic	Cell parameters from 26
F 4 3m	reflections
a = 11.7739(13) Å	$\theta = 7.5 - 15.0^{\circ}$
$V = 1632.15(18) \text{ Å}^3$	$\mu = 1.52 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
Z = 4	T = 293 K
$D_x = 1.020 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$	Tetrahedral
-	$0.33 \times 0.33 \times 0.33$ mm
	Colourless, transparent
Data collection	

Huber four-circle diffractometer $\theta/2\theta$ scans Absorption correction: ψ scans (North, Phillips & Mathews, 1968) $T_{min} = 0.552$, $T_{max} =$ 0.641 316 measured reflections 79 independent reflections 79 observed reflections $[I > 3\sigma(I)]$ $R_{int} = 0.042$ $\theta_{max} = 22.4^{\circ}$ $h = 1 \rightarrow 12$ $k = 0 \rightarrow 8$ $l = 0 \rightarrow 7$ 3 standard reflections monitored every 97 reflections intensity decay: 2.1% Refinement

Refinement on F	Extinction correction:
R = 0.031	Larson (1970)
wR = 0.033	Extinction coefficient: 1.2 (3)
S = 3.05	Atomic scattering factors
79 reflections	from International Tables
15 parameters	for X-ray Crystallography
$w = 1/\sigma^2(F)$	(1974, Vol. IV, Table
$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.002$	2.2B)
$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.21 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$	Absolute configuration:
$\Delta \rho_{\min} = -0.23 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$	Flack (1983) parameter
,	= 0.558 (190)

Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (A^2)

	$U_{eq} = 0$	$(1/3)\sum_i\sum_j U_{ij}$	$a_i^*a_j^*\mathbf{a}_i.\mathbf{a}_j.$	
	x	y	z	U_{ea}
Znl	0	0	0	0.0782 (5)
Lil	1/4	1/4	1/4	0.076 (4)
CI	0.1549 (5)	x	x	0.123 (3)
NI	0.1013 (7)	x	x	0.132 (3)
C2	0.5765 (11)	x	x	0.260 (8)
N2	1/2	1/2	1/2	0.100 (2)

Table 2. Selected geometric parameters (Å, °)

N1—C1 180.0 2—C2 ^{III} 109.47	
	2—C2 ¹¹¹ 109.47

Symmetry codes: (i) y, -x, -z; (ii) $y, \frac{1}{2} - x, \frac{1}{2} - z$; (iii) y, 1 - x, 1 - z.

The structure was solved by the heavy-atom method followed by difference Fourier maps. Anisotropic displacement parameters were used for all non-H atoms. H atoms were not included because of the large thermal motion of the methyl groups.

Data collection: UCLA (Strouse, 1991). Cell refinement: UCLA. Data reduction: UCLA. Program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS86 (Sheldrick, 1985). Program(s) used to refine structure: NRCVAX LSTSQ (Gabe, Le Page, Charland, Lee & White, 1989). Molecular graphics: NRCVAX, ORTEPII (Johnson, 1976). Software used to prepare material for publication: NRCVAX TABLES.

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters, Hatom coordinates and complete geometry have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: BR1114). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

References

Flack, H. D. (1983). Acta Cryst. A39, 876-881.

- Gabe, E. J., Le Page, Y., Charland, J.-P., Lee, F. L. & White, P. S. (1989). J. Appl. Cryst. 22, 384–387.
- Hoskins, F. & Robson, R. (1991). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 112, 1546-1554.
- Johnson, C. K. (1976). ORTEPII. Report ORNL-5138. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- Larson, A. C. (1970). Crystallographic Computing, edited by F. R. Ahmed, S. R. Hall & C. P. Huber, pp. 291–294. Copenhagen: Munksgaard.
- North, A. C. T., Phillips, D. C. & Mathews, F. S. (1968). Acta Cryst. A24, 351–359.

Sheldrick, G. M. (1985). SHELXS86. Program for the Solution of Crystal Structures. University of Göttingen, Germany

Strouse, C. E. (1991). UCLA Crystallographic Computing Package. Department of Chemistry, UCLA, Los Angeles, California, USA.

Acta Cryst. (1996). C52, 16-17

Di- μ -aqua-bis[bis(nitrato-O,O')dioxouranium(VI)] Bis(2-dimethylaminoethanol)

Reijo Hämäläinen, Urho Turpeinen and Ilpo Mutikainen

Department of Chemistry, PO Box 55, A. I. Virtasen aukio, Fin-00014, University of Helsinki, Finland

(Received 10 April 1995; accepted 7 July 1995)

Abstract

Each linear uranyl group in the title compound, $[(UO_2)_2(NO_3)_4(H_2O)_2].2C_4H_{11}NO$, is perpendicular to the equatorial plane formed by six O atoms, of which two belong to the bridging water molecules and four to the bidentate nitrate groups. The coordination polyhedron has a slightly distorted hexagonal bipyramidal arrangement. The non-coordinated 2-dimethylaminoethanol molecules are hydrogen bonded to the bridging water molecules with an O···O distance of 2.77 (3) Å.

Comment

Most uranium complexes have been found to be di-, tetra- or polynuclear. For example, uranyl acetate dihydrate has a dimeric structure with both bridging and terminal acetate groups (Howatson, Grew & Morosin, 1975) while the recently prepared uranium complex including both acetate and 2-dimethylaminoethanol units is a tetramer (Turpeinen, Hämäläinen, Mutikainen & Orama, 1995). In the present dimeric uranyl compound, (I), the amino alcohol molecules act as secondary ligands since they are only hydrogen bonded to the bridging water molecules.



©1996 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved

Two neutral $UO_2(NO_3)_2$ units are bridged by two water O atoms. The bridging angle U(1)— O(2)—U(1a) is 112.1 (6)°. The bridging U—O(water) distances are typically about 0.2 Å shorter than the terminal U—O(nitrate) distances, as observed in related compounds (Cragg, Bott & Atwood, 1988; Åberg, 1969). The U···U distance [3.931 (4) Å] and other geometric parameters of the dimer unit are similar to those of related structures (Perry, Ruben, Templeton & Zalkin, 1980; Perrin, 1976; Dalley, Mueller & Simonsen, 1971; Taylor & Mueller, 1965).



Fig. 1. View of the dinuclear complex and organic molecule with 30% probability ellipsoids. Only one of the two possible positions for each of C(2), C(3) and N(2) is shown.

Experimental

The title compound was prepared by slow evaporation a 1:1 molar ratio of uranyl nitrate hexahydrate and 2-dimethyl-aminoethanol in methanol solution at room temperature.

Crystal data

$[U_2O_4(NO_3)_4(H_2O)_2]$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
$2C_4H_{11}NO$	$\lambda = 0.71069 \text{ Å}$
$M_r = 1002.38$	Cell parameters from 18
Monoclinic	reflections
C2/m	$\theta = 7 - 14^{\circ}$
a = 12.799(5) Å	$\mu = 12.61 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
b = 12.969(9) Å	T = 293 K
c = 7.885 (4) Å	Cubiform
$\beta = 99.01 \ (4)^{\circ}$	$0.2 \times 0.2 \times 0.15$ mm
$V = 1293(1) \text{ Å}^3$	Yellow
Z = 2	
$D_x = 2.575 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$	
D_m not measured	
Data collection	

Nicolet P3F diffractometer ω scans

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.018 \\ \theta_{\rm max} = 27^{\circ}$